Unit Impr	ovement Report Review:		Date:				0
ocus is upengaging s	on quality improvement through	evidence-base improvement.	ed decision-making. By o	ss of collecting, analyzing, and acting upon data comparing actual performance to stated targets also enable us to clarify future direction, establis	through the assessment process,	we hold ourselves accou	intable for
SLO/AO	#1 NAME:						
	Outcomes – Student Learning C d Administrative Outcomes (AOs singular and measurable.	outcomes ) must be	omplished by the data-o they accomplished, 2.) Os are specific statemen	rision level should be designed to support the good riven decision process which entails: 1.). Whe what type of assessment will best inform the test that describe the abilities, skills, knowledge, escribe exactly what and how a student will describe the state of the state o	at goals are to be accomplished a department of the extent to which and/or values that you want stud	by the department/divis th they are meeting the	ion and how r goals.
I Learning or Δ() is not related 1		AO/SLO is not measurable.	to be measuring	AO/SLO describes expected outcome, but requires refinement or further information.	AO/SLO clearly describes a single measurable outcome.	Total Possible Po	ints: 10
	0	0	0	5	10	SCORE	
				Comments:			
		Action verbs are used to describe exactly what and how a student will demonstrate learning.  AO/SLO is not related ac/Dept.  AO/SLO is not measurable.  AO/SLO is not measurable.  AO/SLO describes expected outcome, but requires refinement or further information.  O O O 5 10 SCORE					

## AO/SLO # 1 METHOD

Method of Assessment: SLO's must be assessed with at least one direct method. AO's do not require a direct method. For best practice, select at least two separate methods for measuring AOs and SLOs. Five bonus points are given to outcomes with two or more methods. It may not be possible to measure outcomes in multiple ways in some cases.

• An important qualification to keep in mind when selecting measures for SLOs is that course grades are not acceptable for this purpose.

<u>Direct Measures</u> are learning assessment tools. Academic units should use multiple direct measures of learning. Direct measurements are often derived from student course work.

- Capstone courses/experiences
- Case Studies
- Portfolio Assessments
- Assessment of Research Papers/ Projects with a Standardized Rubric
- Licensure Exams and certifications
- Internship Evaluations
- Written/oral comprehensive exams
- Juried reviews of projects, exhibitions, performances
- Standardized tests (Major Field Achievement Test, Critical Thinking Ability, Academic Profile, etc.)

<u>Indirect Measures</u> (outputs) can provide useful information but DO NOT directly assess learning.

Indirect measurements are based on the opinions or attitudes toward what was learned that students, alumni, employers, and others may hold (e.g., graduating senior or alumni surveys) or are comprised of data that implies learning has taken place (e.g., job placement statistics and standardized surveys such as the National Survey of Student Engagement) AO's often use data reports to measure performance.

SLO: No method of assessment clearly identified OR only one Indirect method AND No documents attached. AO: No method of assessment clearly identified AND no document attached.	one Indirect	SLO: Method is described and is based on a Direct Measure. AO: Method (direct or indirect) is described. Documents not attached.	SLO: Method is direct and clearly described. <u>Document attached.</u> AO: Method is clearly described. <u>Document attached.</u>	AO/SLO: More than one measure listed. Each measure is clearly defined. At least one is Direct (if SLO). All Documents not attached.	method. Each method to	Total I	Possible its: 20
0	10	15	20	20	25	SCORE:	

**Comments:** 

## AO/SLO # 1 CRITERION

AO and SLO criteria are stated in such a way that it is clear what performance level is to be considered successful. For each method of measuring the AO/SLO, a quantitative goal for the desired level of performance on the measurement must be stated. This target level or criterion may be a specified percentage of students attaining a given outcome, score on a test, or some other numeric value that reflects what you believe ought to be the ideal outcome. Be prepared to explain why the criterion is set at your chosen level.

	No quantitative/measurable goals for the desired level of performance have been stated.	More than one active method, but not all specify a quantitative goal for the desired level of performance.	For each active method, a clearly stated quantitative goal for the desired level of performance has been specified.	Total Possible Points: 10	
	0	5	10	SCORE:	

## **Comments:**

## AO/SLO # 1 RESULTS

- How did results from off-site locations, online, and face-to-face programs/services compare with the overall results, if applicable (Academic Programs Only)?
- Did you provide total number of students/participants completing the assessment along with % meeting your criterion?
- How do the results compare to your expectations?
- Have you provided evidence of your results (attachments)?

recent improvements on the results?

• Did you explain the impact of

Active outcome for more than one cycle, no results.	Results of assessment are stated. No comparison explicitly stated, no evidence attached.	Results of assessment are stated.  Results <u>are compared</u> to Plan expectations explicitly. <u>No evidence</u> of results is provided.	Results of assessment are stated. Results are not disaggregated between modalities for programs with multiple delivery modes.  Evidence of results is provided.	explicitly and impact	Results of assessment are stated and disaggregated if necessary. Results are compared to criteria in detail and evidence of results is provided. Impact of improvements	* New outcome and/or assessment method.* There has not been sufficient time (1 academic year) for data collection since the AO/SLO or method was updated.		Possible ts: 20
0	5	10	10	15	20	20	SCORE:	

**Comments:** 

AO/SLO # 1 REFLECTION AND USE OF RESULTS FOR IMPROVEMENT

The final step is the most crucial — the reflection comparing the criterion from the prior assessment period <u>and</u> use of results moving forward. How does the criterion from the current years results compare to the prior assessment periods criterion? What is the status of last year's improvement recommendations--were they implemented, and what impact did they have on this years results? How will you use the results to continue to improve or enhance services/learning across all modes of delivery?

- If goal was met, what can you do to continue to raise standards and/or improve? Remember this IE plan needs to demonstrate evidence of improvement.
- What are you going to do to make improvements to address areas in which the criteria was not met? What is your next plan of action?
- If the findings consistently suggest that no improvement is needed, then programs should consider examining a more useful AO/SLO, or setting more demanding target levels for existing methods of measurement.

Comparis	on of Criterion from the Prio	r Assessment Period					
	No reflection/comparison of current years results criterion to that of the prior assessment cycle.	Criterion of the current assessment cycle and the prior accessment cycle are stated, but no conclusions are discussed regarding the directionality of improvements efforts.  Reflection/comparison of current years results criterion to that of the prior assessment cycle are provided along with a discussion of the directionality of improvement efforts.  *New AO/SLO or Method*. Insufficient time for data collection, entry, and/or criterion comparison.		rent cycle and the ccessment cycle stated, but no ons are discussed garding the ectionality of		Total Possible Points: 10	
	0	5	10		10	Score	
tatus of	Prior Year's improvement Re	commendations					
	The status of prior recommended improvements not provided.	Program repeats response from previous year. No action taken.	Status update for prior recomme Results are identified and used to of AO/S	*New AO/SLO or Method*. Insufficient time for data collection and entry.	Total Possible Points: 10		
	0 0		10	10	Score		
Action Pla	an for the Following Assessm						
	Criterion Not Met or Inconclusive- No		Criterion Not Met or Inconclusive - the program/department has developed/identified new strategies to continue meet criterion/improve.	Criterion Met - the program/department has developed/identified new strategies and/or raised the criterion to continue to improve	*New SLO or Method* in first year of implementation. By year two there should be results and improvement actions. The continuous rotation of methods or outcomes is not considered "new."		Possible nts: 20

**Comments:** 

Total Score for SLO #1	0